CA & SLA: Master's Module Conceptual Map

Contrastive Analysis & Second Language Acquisition For M1 Students in Language Sciences

First Semester: Contrastive Analysis (CA)

Introduction to Contrastive Analysis

Historical Background

Origins in the 1940s-50s with structural linguistics; pioneered by Charles Fries and Robert Lado

Theoretical Foundation

Based on structural linguistics and behavioral psychology; systematic comparison of languages

Scope and Purpose

Comparing phonological, morphological, syntactic and lexical systems across languages

L Contrastive Analysis Hypothesis (CAH)

Strong Version

Differences between L1 and L2 always lead to difficulties and errors; predictive approach

Weak Version

Transfer Theory Positive transfer (facilitation) and negative transfer (interference) between languages

Explanatory rather than predictive; explains some errors after they occur

Limitations of CAH Fails to predict all errors; ignores developmental and universal factors in SLA

A Error Analysis

Methodological Framework Identifying, describing, explaining and evaluating learner errors

Interlingual (L1 influence) vs. intralingual (developmental) errors

Error vs. Mistake

Types of Errors

Systematic errors (competence) vs. performance slips (mistakes)

Taxonomies of Errors

Linguistic Category

Phonological, morphological, syntactic, lexical, semantic, and pragmatic errors

Surface Strategy

Addition, omission, substitution, and misordering of linguistic elements

Developmental, interlingual, ambiguous, and unique errors

Comparative Analysis

Communicative Effect

Global errors (affecting communication) vs. local errors (not impeding understanding)

Interlanguage

Selinker's Theory (1972) The learner's developing L2 system as a separate linguistic system

Systematic, dynamic, variable, and rule-governed nature of learner language

Processes

Fossilization

Characteristics

L1 transfer, overgeneralization, simplification, and communication strategies

Permanent cessation of IL development before reaching target-like competence

Second Semester: Second Language Acquisition (SLA)

Scope of the Field

Definition of SLA

Study of how second languages are learned; interdisciplinary approach

Acquisition vs. Learning

Key Terminology L1, L2, FL, TL, input, intake, output, competence, performance, proficiency

Research Methods

Longitudinal studies, cross-sectional studies, experimental designs, case studies

Subconscious process similar to L1 vs. conscious knowledge of language rules

Psychological Explanations **Behaviorist Perspective**

Language learning as habit formation; emphasis on imitation and reinforcement

Cognitivist Approach Language learning as mental processes; information processing and schema building

Interactionist View Combining innate abilities with environmental influences; social interaction

Sociocultural Theory

Vygotsky's approach; learning through social interaction and scaffolding

Neural networks and pattern recognition in language learning

Connectionism

Krashen's Hypotheses

Distinction between subconscious acquisition and conscious learning

Monitor Hypothesis Learned system acts as a monitor or editor for output from acquired system

Acquisition-Learning Hypothesis

Grammatical structures are acquired in a predictable sequence

Natural Order Hypothesis

Input Hypothesis Comprehensible input (i+1) is necessary and sufficient for acquisition

Affective Filter Hypothesis Emotional variables affect the success of language acquisition

Criticisms of Krashen Lack of empirical evidence; vague definitions; undervaluing output

Threshold Hypothesis

BICS vs. CALP

Cummins' Hypotheses

Interdependence Hypothesis

Need for minimum threshold competency in both languages for cognitive benefits

L1 and L2 academic proficiency are interdependent; transfer of cognitive skills

Contextual Continuum

Context-embedded vs. context-reduced communication; cognitively demanding vs. undemanding tasks

Basic Interpersonal Communication Skills vs. Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency

Single source of proficiency underlying bilingual performance; "dual iceberg" model

Common Underlying Proficiency

Educational Implications Support for bilingual education; importance of L1 maintenance and development

Error Sources Theoretical Evolution

The development from structural CA to more complex cognitive and social theories of SLA reflects the evolution of linguistic theory and research

Interlanguage as Bridge

The concept of interlanguage serves as a bridge between CA and SLA

evolving from error analysis to a comprehensive theory of learner

language development with its own systematic rules.

methodology in understanding language learning processes.

The progression from CA's focus on L1 interference to SLA's recognition of multiple sources of errors (developmental, universal, individual)

represents a more comprehensive approach to learner language. **Pedagogical Applications**

Both fields contribute to language teaching methodologies, with CA

informing contrastive teaching techniques and SLA research supporting communicative and task-based approaches to language instruction.

© Connections Between CA and SLA